

Baryon Isocurvature Perturbation in the Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis mechanism

Kazuha Koyama¹ and Jiro Soda²

¹ Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

² Department of Fundamental Physics, FHS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
(February 11.1998)

We propose a primeval baryon isocurvature model in the context of the Affleck-Dine (AD) baryogenesis mechanism. Quantum fluctuations of the AD field during inflation produce fluctuations in the baryon number. We obtain a model which gives both the appropriate baryon to entropy ratio of the order 10^{-11} and the gaussian baryon isocurvature perturbation of the order 10^{-3} at the break of the spectrum 1Mpc with the steep spectrum $n = -1.5$ on large scales $> 1\text{Mpc}$.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 11.30.Fs, 95.35.+d

The primeval baryon isocurvature model (PBI) which attempts to account for the large scale structure of the universe only by the baryon and the radiation has several appealing properties which are lacking in standard CDM model [1]. Under the assumption that there exist primeval fluctuations in the baryon to entropy ratio with a steep spectrum on large scales, PBI fits two sets of observations [2,3]. First, large power on small scales in the fluctuations spectrum produces galaxy at a relatively early stage, which is supported by recent galaxy surveys. Second, the large scale correlations in the distributions of galaxies would be produced at matter-radiation Jeans scale $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$.

So far, most of studies about PBI are started by assuming the existence of ad hoc primordial fluctuations. To realize PBI in the physics of early universe, one needs a model of baryogenesis which can give both the appropriate baryon to entropy ratio n_B/s of the order 10^{-11} and its fluctuations with the steep spectrum. The tilted spectrum is necessary to suppress the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropy still keeping sufficient amplitude on the galaxy scale [4]. A possible model is the one based on the grand unified theory (GUT) baryogenesis and inflation. In Ref [5], the baryogenesis from the decay of the heavy Majorana lepton with both hard and soft CP violation is considered and the non-gaussian fluctuations with the steep spectrum are obtained. Generally, however, the GUT scale (M_G) inflation produces the adiabatic perturbation of the order $(M_G/M_p)^2 \sim 10^{-5}$, where M_p is the planck scale, so one needs the artificial suppression to ensure its subdominance to the isocurvature perturbation. If one takes the lower energy as the scale of the inflation, the adiabatic perturbation is suppressed, but the reheating temperature also decreases, so the GUT baryogenesis would not work well. Then, we need the model of baryogenesis at lower energy scales. Unfortunately, the weak scale baryogenesis in the standard model (SM) of the particle physics is unable to produce the sufficient baryon asymmetry [6], but a viable alternative exists in supersymmetric extension of SM, i.e., the Affleck-Dine (AD) mechanism [7,8]. The AD mechanism produces the baryon asymmetry efficiently and it is known that scale invariant fluctuations in the

baryon number are induced by the quantum fluctuations of the field responsible for the baryogenesis (AD field) during inflation [9]. However, as mentioned above, the steep spectrum is crucial to realize PBI. In this letter, we will show the tilted spectrum of fluctuations is implemented in AD baryogenesis mechanism by coupling the AD field to another field [10].

In the AD mechanism, the baryogenesis occurs from the coherent condensation of the AD field, which carries $B - L$ $U(1)$ charge, where B and L are the baryon number and the lepton number. The potential of the AD field is given by [8]

$$V_\phi(\phi) = (m_{3/2}^2 - cH^2)|\phi|^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda(Am_{3/2} + aH)}{nM^{n-3}} \phi^n + (h.c.) \right) + |\lambda|^2 \frac{|\phi|^{2n-2}}{M^{2n-6}}, \quad (1)$$

where $m_{3/2}$ is the gravitino mass, H is the Hubble parameter, M is some large mass scale, λ is the dimensionless coupling constant, n is some integer larger than 4, $a = \bar{a}e^{i\theta_a}$ and $A = \bar{A}e^{i\theta_A}$ are some complex numbers, and c is a real constant. Here we will consider the case $n = 4$ and $\lambda = 1$ [11]. The important point of the model of Ref [8] is that the negative effective mass term is assumed to exist during inflation. During inflation, the AD field has large value and subsequent to inflation, it spirals into the origin exciting the baryon number and decays to the baryon after reheating. Following, we will describe how baryon isocurvature fluctuations with steep spectrum can be obtained in this model of baryogenesis.

(1) *During inflation* ($H \gg m_{3/2}$), we can ignore the terms proportional to $m_{3/2}$. Due to the negative mass term proportional to H , the potential has global minimum far from the origin and undergoes quantum fluctuations of the order H about the minimum. [8]. We consider the scales which are still out of horizon when the AD field decays and creates baryons, so the spectrum shape of baryon number fluctuations is determined by that of the AD field during inflation. We want the tilted spectrum favor to small scales in order to suppress the CMB anisotropy [4]. Generally, the tilt of the spectrum can be obtained if the modes of the fluctuations have

the mass proportional to the Hubble parameter. This is because amplitudes of modes which are massive decrease with time even after they cross the horizon. For example, if the mode has the mass $m^2 = m_*^2 H_I^2$ and the Hubble parameter H_I during inflation is constant, the mode amplitudes decrease as $R^{-3/2+\nu}$ ($\nu = (9/4 - m_*^2)^{1/2}$) after the mode crosses the horizon. The mode amplitudes are H_I when it crosses the horizon, so at the end of the inflation, the mode function becomes

$$\delta\bar{\phi}_k \sim \frac{H_I}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left(\frac{R_k}{R_e}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\nu} = \frac{H_I}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left(\frac{k}{R_e H_I}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where R_k and R_e are scale factors when the mode exits the horizon and the inflation ends. The tilt from the scale invariant spectrum $n = -3$ is given by $\Delta n = 3 - 2\nu$, which is larger as the mass becomes large. This could produce the isocurvature perturbation with the tilted spectrum [12]. However, since the comoving scale of galaxies is much larger than the horizon scale at the inflationary epoch ($k_{galaxy}/R_e \ll H_I$), the fluctuation amplitude on the galaxy scale suffers from severe suppression [5]. To avoid this problem we must change the break of the spectrum from H_I to another scale. This can be done if ϕ couples to the other field ψ which gives the mass $m^2 \propto \psi^2$ to ϕ . First, we assume the power-law inflation in order to obtain the desired behavior of ψ [10]. The potential of the inflaton χ is

$$U(\chi) = \Lambda^4 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{16\pi\epsilon}{M_p^2}}\chi\right), \quad (3)$$

where Λ is some mass scale and ϵ , which is less than unity, determines the expansion rate during inflation. The scale factor and the Hubble parameter are $R \propto t^{1/\epsilon}$, $H = 1/(\epsilon t)$. Second, we couple the AD field to the other real field ψ and assume the negative coupling. The potential is

$$V(\phi, \psi) = V_\phi(\phi) + \frac{1}{4}\beta\psi^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\psi^2 - \gamma\psi^2(\phi^2 + \phi^{*2}), \quad (4)$$

where γ and β are some positive coupling constants which we assume tiny ($\sim m_{3/2}/M$) and $\beta\psi^2, \mu^2 \gg \gamma|\phi|^2$ [13]. In this case, we can ignore the effect of coupling to ϕ in the evolution of ψ . Initially, ψ is assumed to have the large value then at early times the self-coupling term is dominant, and ψ slowly decreases with t ($\propto t^{-1}$) so evolves like H ($\psi = (\epsilon/\beta^{1/2})(3\epsilon^{-1} - 2)^{1/2}H$). The behavior of ψ changes at t_1 when the mass term and the self-coupling term become comparable. At that time $\psi = \mu\beta^{-1/2}$, so t_1 is determined by the mass μ ($t_1 = \mu^{-1}\epsilon^{-1/2}$). Later, the mass term becomes dominant and the amplitude of ψ decays rapidly ($\psi \propto t^{-3/2\epsilon}$) [10]. Following, for simplicity, we assume $\bar{a} = 0$. For $t < t_1$, due to the coupling with ψ , the minimum of the AD field $\phi = \bar{\phi}e^{i\theta}$ and the mass around the minimum $m^2 = m_*^2 H^2$ is given by

$$\theta_{0eff} = 0, m_{*\theta eff}^2 = 4\epsilon(3 - 2\epsilon)(\gamma/\beta),$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{0eff} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c_{eff}}HM}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, m_{*\phi eff}^2 = 4c_{eff}, \quad (5)$$

where $c_{eff} = c + 2\epsilon(3 - 2\epsilon)(\gamma/\beta)$ and $m_{\phi eff}$ is the mass of the amplitude and $m_{\theta eff}$ is that of the phase. For $t > t_1$, ψ decays, so the minimum and the mass are given by

$$\theta_0 = 0, m_{*\theta}^2 = 0, \bar{\phi}_0 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}HM}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, m_{*\phi}^2 = 4c. \quad (6)$$

For $t < t_1$, the curvature around the minimum is large so fluctuations are massive ($m_{eff} > m$). Then, the amplitudes of the modes which exit the horizon for $t < t_1$ decrease with time more rapidly after crossing the horizon than the modes which exit the horizon for $t > t_1$. So, the decay of ψ for $t > t_1$ gives the break to the spectrum at k_1 which is the wavenumber of the mode which exits the horizon at $t = t_1$. The modes of $k < k_1$ have the steeper spectrum than the modes of $k > k_1$. Taking into consideration that the Hubble parameter varies with time [14], we obtain the mode functions of the modes $k > k_1$ at the end of the inflation

$$|\bar{\phi}_0 \delta\theta_k|_{H_e} \sim \frac{H_1}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left(\frac{k}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\nu_\theta} \left(\frac{R_e}{R_1}\right)^{-q_\theta}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$q_\theta = \frac{(3 - \epsilon) - \sqrt{(3 - \epsilon)^2 - 4m_{*\theta}^2}}{2}, \nu_\theta = \frac{\sqrt{(3 - \epsilon)^2 - 4m_{*\theta}^2}}{2(1 - \epsilon)},$$

and H_1 and R_1 are the Hubble parameter and the scale factor when the mode with wavenumber k_1 exits the horizon. The mode functions of the modes $k < k_1$ are obtained by replacing ν_θ to $\nu_{\theta eff}$ which is given by replacing $m_{*\theta}$ to $m_{*\theta eff}$. Notice that the break of the spectrum is k_1 rather than the Hubble parameter during inflation as required. The spectrum index $n = -2\nu_{\theta eff}$ for $k < k_1$ is larger than $n = -2\nu_\theta$ for $k > k_1$. Fluctuations of the amplitude are given by replacing q_θ, ν_θ to q_ϕ, ν_ϕ respectively.

(2) *Subsequent to inflation* ($H > m_{3/2}$), we assume the universe enters the matter era during inflaton oscillation, so the Hubble parameter varies with time. For $H > m_{3/2}$, we can still ignore the terms proportional to $m_{3/2}$. Since we assume $\bar{a} = 0$, the phase and its fluctuations are constant ($\theta = \theta_0, \delta\theta = \delta\theta|_{H_e}$). In the case c is not so tiny, the field tracks the fixed point near the instantaneous minimum of the potential and decreases with time ($\sim (HM)^{1/2} \propto t^{-1/2}$) [8] and the fluctuations vary in the same way ($\propto t^{-1/2}$), thus during this times $\delta\bar{\phi}/\bar{\phi}_0 = \text{const.}$. If c is very tiny or vanishes [15], the higher terms becomes important, so the AD fields is near critically damped ($V''(\phi) \sim H^2$). In this case there also exists the attracting point ($\sim (HM)^{1/2}$), so the situation is almost the same with the former case [8].

(3) At $H \sim m_{3/2}$, the low energy supersymmetry breaking terms proportional to $m_{3/2}$ become important and the $B - L$ non-conserving A-term arises. The AD field falls toward the origin. The evolution of the AD field at this epoch can be considered as the motion of a point-like particle in the two dimensional plane (ϕ_R, ϕ_I) , where ϕ_R and ϕ_I are the real and imaginary part of the AD field. The baryon charge $n_B = 2|\dot{\phi}|^2\dot{\theta} = 2(\dot{\phi}_I\phi_R - \dot{\phi}_R\phi_I)$ corresponds to the angular momentum of the particle, and its non-conservation is caused by $U(1)$ -asymmetric A-term [16]. In the case $\bar{A} = c = \eta \ll 1$, we can obtain the approximate solution. The ansatz of the solution is

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_R &= \frac{t_i}{t} \alpha_R \sin(t - t_i + \beta_R(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t_i}) + \delta_R), \\ \phi_I &= \frac{t_i}{t} \alpha_I \sin(t - t_i + \beta_I(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t_i}) + \delta_I),\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where we rescale $\phi \rightarrow (m_{3/2}M)^{1/2}\phi$ and $t \rightarrow m_{3/2}^{-1}t$. The initial condition is set at $t = t_i$. Until $t = t_i$, we assume the AD fields tracks the fixed point, so at that time $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}_i$, $\dot{\bar{\phi}} = -(1/2t_i)\bar{\phi}$, $\theta = \theta_0$, $\dot{\theta} = 0$. $\bar{\phi}_i$ is determined by the time when the baryon number is excited ($t = t_i$). At this time $H \sim m_{3/2}$, so $\bar{\phi}_i$ is $\sim O(1)$. We obtain the solution to the first order of η

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_R &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \phi_{Ri}, \quad \beta_R = \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\frac{4}{9} - \frac{15}{16}(\phi_{Ri}^2 - 3\phi_{Ii}^2) \right), \\ \alpha_I &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \phi_{Ii}, \quad \beta_I = \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\frac{4}{9} - \frac{15}{16}(\phi_{Ii}^2 - 3\phi_{Ri}^2) \right),\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

and $\delta_R = \delta_I = \arctan(2)$, where $\phi_{Ri} = \bar{\phi}_i \cos(\theta_A/4)$, $\phi_{Ii} = \bar{\phi}_i \sin(\theta_A/4)$. Here we neglect the terms proportional to t^p ($p < -2$) and the high frequency terms. The baryon number at late times tends to

$$n_B \sim \frac{75}{64} \left(\frac{t_i}{t} \right)^2 (m_{3/2}^2 M) \bar{A} \bar{\phi}_i^4 \sin \theta_A. \quad (10)$$

We have done numerical calculations and find that this approximate solution agrees with numerical results up to a factor 2. We consider fluctuations of the field as fluctuations in the initial condition of this motion. For $H > m_{3/2}$, $\delta\theta$ and $\delta\bar{\phi}/\bar{\phi}_0$ are approximately constant, so fluctuations in the baryon number become

$$\frac{\delta n_B}{n_B} \sim 4 \left(\cot \theta_A \delta\theta|_{H=H_e} + \frac{\delta\bar{\phi}}{\bar{\phi}_0} \Big|_{H=H_e} \right). \quad (11)$$

In the case $\bar{a} = 0, c \neq 0$, fluctuations of the amplitude are suppressed by the factor $(R_e/R_1)^{-q_\phi}$ compared to those of the phase so negligible. For $H < m_{3/2}$ A-term becomes negligible, so the baryon number and its fluctuations are constant in the comoving volume.

(4) After reheating, the inflaton χ converts to the radiation and the entropy is produced. The fractional energy in the AD condensate at this time is $\rho_\phi/\rho_I \sim$

$(m_{3/2}M)/M_p^2 \ll 1$, so the entropy is dominated by inflaton decay. To ensure the condensate is not damped by thermal scattering before the baryon asymmetry is established, the reheating temperature should be $T_R < 10^6$ GeV [8]. For the reheating temperature above the weak scale, $B - L$ is converted to the baryon number.

We will show that both the appropriate baryon to entropy ratio and its fluctuations with the appropriate amplitude and spectrum shape are obtained by choosing specific parameters. The baryon to entropy ratio is [8]

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \sim \frac{75}{64} \bar{A} \bar{\phi}_i^4 \sin \theta_A 10^{-10} \left(\frac{T_R}{10^6 \text{Gev}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-3}M}{M_p} \right). \quad (12)$$

When we choose $\bar{A} = c = 0.1$, $\bar{\phi}_i = 1$, $\theta_A = \pi/4$, $M = 10^3 M_p$, and $T_R = 10^6 \text{Gev}$, this becomes $n_B/s = 10^{-11}$, which is consistent with the constraint from primordial nucleosynthesis. The power spectrum of the entropy perturbation is given by

$$\left\langle \left[\frac{\delta(n_B/s)}{n_B/s} \right]_k^2 \right\rangle = Q P_B(k), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Q &\sim 10^{-3} \left(\frac{H_1}{M_p} \right) \left(\frac{H_1}{H_e} \right) \left(\frac{M_p}{10^{-3}M} \right) \\ k^3 P_B(k) &= \left(\frac{k}{k_1} \right)^{3-2\nu_\theta} (k \gg k_1), \quad \left(\frac{k}{k_1} \right)^{3-2\nu_{\theta eff}} (k \ll k_1).\end{aligned}$$

We set the expansion rate during inflation at $\epsilon = 0.28$. The rest parameters are determined so as to satisfy astrophysical constraints. First, in order to suppress the CMB anisotropy, the spectrum index should be $n > -1.5$ on large scales [4,5]. So, we choose $\gamma/\beta = 0.57$, which corresponds to $m_{\theta eff}^2 = 1.56$, then the spectrum index becomes $n = -2\nu_{\theta eff} = -1.5$. The amplitude and the break are determined by J_3 normalization [5]

$$\begin{aligned}J_3(25h^{-1} \text{Mpc}) &= \left(\frac{D_0}{D_{rec}} \right)^2 \int dk P_{rec}(k) \frac{\sin kr - kr \cos kr}{k} \\ &= 780h^{-3} \text{Mpc}^3 \left(\frac{Q}{10^{-3}} \right) \left(\frac{D_0/D_{rec}}{90} \right)^2 \left(\frac{x_1}{1 \text{Mpc}} \right)^{1.5},\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

where $x_1 = 2\pi/k_1$ is the comoving scale of the break, D_0/D_{rec} is the growth factor from recombination to present and P_{rec} is the power spectrum at recombination; $P_{rec} = P_B$ ($k \gg k_{eq}$), $P_{rec} = P_B(k/k_{eq})^4$ ($k \ll k_{eq}$). Here k_{eq} is the comoving wavenumber corresponding to the horizon scale at the time of equality between baryon and radiation density. For example, we fix the break at $x_1 = 1 \text{Mpc}$ [2], then the amplitude Q should be 10^{-3} . To obtain the appropriate baryon to entropy ratio, H_e should be larger than $m_{3/2}$. So we take $H_e = 10^5 \text{Gev}$ and $H_1 = 10^{12} \text{Gev}$, then $Q \sim 10^{-3}$. The expansion factor during inflation is given by

$$\frac{R_1}{R_p} = \left(\frac{H_1}{H_e} \right)^{1/\epsilon} = 10^{25}. \quad (15)$$

This is appropriate to adjust the scale of k_1 to 1Mpc. The value of the Hubble parameter during inflation is relatively low, so the primeval adiabatic perturbation is naturally subdominant. In fact, the curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ of the inflaton χ can be estimated by the standard formula;

$$\mathcal{R} \sim \frac{U(\chi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{M_p^3 U_{,\chi}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi\epsilon}} \frac{H}{M_p} < 10^{-7}, \quad (16)$$

which is negligible compared to the baryon isocurvature perturbation. We make assumption that during inflation the coupling to the AD field does not affect the evolution of ψ ($\beta\psi^2, \mu^2 \gg \gamma|\phi|^2$). Since the mass of ψ is related to H_1 by $\mu^2 = \epsilon H_1^2$ and $\psi^2 > \epsilon\beta^{-1}H_1^2$ for $t < t_1$, this condition is satisfied if $\gamma < \epsilon H_1/M$, which is consistent with the assumption $\gamma \sim \beta \sim m_{3/2}/M$.

In summary, we have obtained the PBI, which gives both the appropriate baryon to entropy ratio $\sim 10^{-11}$ and the gaussian baryon number perturbation of the order $\sim 10^{-3}$ at the break $x_1 = 1\text{Mpc}$ with the steep spectrum $n = -1.5$ on large scales $x > x_1$. The inflation occurs at relatively low scale such as 10^{12} GeV, so the adiabatic perturbation generated by the inflaton is negligible naturally. The e-folding number during inflation is sufficient to solve the horizon problem. The reheating temperature is higher than the weak scale and low enough to avoid the gravitino problem.

The most essential assumption of our model is the coupling between AD field and the field ψ . The tiny coupling constant $\gamma \sim m_{3/2}/M$ is needed [13]. In our model, the coupling to ψ produces the large initial values for baryogenesis in early stage of inflation, so even in the case when the terms coming from finite energy supersymmetry breaking are small or vanishes [15], our model still works well. The exact value of coefficients of the potential relevant to the AD field and ψ may be determined by high energy physics one has not known well and a set of parameters we take here is not unique. Our purpose in this letter is to show there exists at least one PBI in physical model of baryogenesis.

The authors are grateful to anonymous referees for suggestions that improved the presentation. The work of J.S. was supported by Monbusho Grant-in-Aid No.10740118.

- [1] P.J.E.Peebles, *Astrophys.J.* **315**, L73 (1987).
- [2] P.J.E.Peebles, in *Large Scale Structure and Motions in the Universe*, Proceedings of the Meeting, Trieste, Italy, 1988, edited by M.Mezzetti *et al.* (Kluwer, Dordrecht,1989), p.119.
- [3] T.Suginohara and Y.Suto, *Astrophys.J.* **387**, 431 (1992); R.Cen, J.P.Ostriker and P.J.E.Peebles, *Astrophys.J.* **415**, 423 (1993).
- [4] G.Efstathiou and J.R.Bond, *Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc.* **218**,103 (1986); N.Sugiyama,N.Gouda and M.Sasaki, *Astrophys.J.***365** 432 (1990).
- [5] J.Yokoyama and Y.Suto, *Astrophys.J.* **379**, 427 (1991); M.Sasaki and J.Yokoyama, *Phys.Rev.***D44**,44 (1970)
- [6] A.Riotto, Report No. hep-ph/9807454.
- [7] I. Affleck and M. Dine, *Nucl. Phys.* **B249** 361 (1985); A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **B160** 243 (1985)
- [8] M.Dine,L.Randall and S.Thomas, *Nucl.Phys.* **B458**,291 (1996).
- [9] Models of isocurvature perturbations based on the idea that a classical field appears following inflation have been considered in the literature[P.J. Steinhardt, M.S. Turner, *Phys. Lett.* **B129** 51 (1983); D. Seckel, M.S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D32** 3178 (1985)]. Baryon isocurvature fluctuations based on such idea are discussed in the context of spontaneous baryogenesis[M.S. Turner, A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, *Phys. Lett.* **B216** 20 (1989)] and AD baryogenesis[J. Ellis, K. Enqvist, D.V. Nanopoulos, and K.A. Olive,*Phys. Lett.* **B191** 343 (1987); S. Mollerach, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** 313 (1990); J. Yokoyama, *AstroPart. Phys.* **2** 291 (1994); C.J. Copi, K.A. Olive and D.N. Schramm Report No. astro-ph/9606156].
- [10] P.J.E.Peebles, Report.No. astro-ph/9805194.
- [11] A simple example is the $H_u L$ direction which carries $B - L = -1$.
- [12] A.D. Linde,*Phys. Lett.* **B158** (1985) 375; A.Linde and V.Mukhanov, *Phys.Rev.***D56**, R535 (1997).
- [13] This potential is generated by the superpotential $W = (2\sqrt{\beta^{-1}}\gamma\Phi^2 - \sqrt{\beta}/2\Psi^2)\Xi$ where Φ, Ψ, Ξ is the superfield of a scalar field ϕ, ψ, ξ . The AD field is no longer flat. However, since γ, β is the square of the yukawa coupling, these could be tiny [L.Randall, M.Soljagic and A.Guth, *Nucl Phys.***B472**, 377 (1996)]. In particular, if $\gamma \sim \beta \sim m_{3/2}/M \ll 1$, the quartic term of the AD field is always negligible compared to the terms of eq.(1).
- [14] E.D.Stewart and D.H.Lyth, *Phys.Lett.***B302**,171 (1993).
- [15] E.D.Stewart, *Phys.Rev.***D51**,6847 (1995); M.K. Gaillard, H. Murayama, and K.A. Olive, *Phys. Lett.***B355** (1995) 71
- [16] A.D.Dolgov and D.P Kirilova, *J.Moscow.Phys.Soc.***1**, 217 (1991).